

**PRICE LAW GROUP, APC**

Stuart M. Price (SBN 150439)

[Stuart@pricelawgroup.com](mailto:Stuart@pricelawgroup.com)

15760 Ventura Blvd., Suite 1100

Encino, CA 91436

T: (818) 907-2030

F: (818) 205-2730

Attorneys for Plaintiff,

Donald Flewellyn

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

**DONALD FLEWELLYN,**

Plaintiff,

**v.**

**LENDINGCLUB CORPORATION,**

Defendant(s).

**Case No.:**

**COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR  
JURY TRIAL**

**(Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)**

**COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff, Donald Flewellyn (“Plaintiff”), through his attorneys, alleges the following against Defendant, LendingClub Corporation. (“Defendant”):

**INTRODUCTION**

1. Count I of Plaintiff’s Complaint is based upon the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (“TCPA”), 47 U.S.C. § 227. The TCPA is a federal statute that broadly regulates the use of automated telephone equipment. Among other things, the TCPA prohibits certain unsolicited marketing calls, restricts the use

1 of automatic dialers or prerecorded messages, and delegates rulemaking  
2 authority to the Federal Communications Commission (“FCC”).

- 3 2. Count II of Plaintiff’s Complaint is based upon Texas Fair Debt Collection  
4 Practices Act (“TFDCPA”), TEX. FIN. CODE 392, which prohibits debt  
5 collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair practices in  
6 connection with the collection of consumer debts.  
7

8 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 9 3. Plaintiff resides in the State of Texas, and therefore, personal jurisdiction is  
10 established.  
11  
12 4. Jurisdiction of this court arises under 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq. and 28 U.S.C.  
13 1331.  
14 5. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 *U.S.C. 1391(b)(2)*.

15 **PARTIES**

- 16 6. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Midlothian, Ellis County, Texas.  
17  
18 7. Plaintiff is a consumer as defined by the TFDCPA, TEX. FIN. CODE 392.001(1).  
19  
20 8. Defendant is a creditor engaged in the business of giving consumer loans with  
21 its principal place of business located in San Francisco, California. Defendant  
22 can be served with process at Corporation Service Company CSC -  
23 LAWYERS INCORPORATING SERVICE, 2710 GATEWAY OAKS DR  
24 STE 150N, SACRAMENTO CA 95833.  
25

1 9. Defendant is a “debt collector” as defined by the TFDCPA, TEX. FIN. CODE  
2 392.001(6).

3 10. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors,  
4 heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees,  
5 representatives, and insurers.  
6

7 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

8 11. Defendant is attempting to collect a debt from Plaintiff.

9 12. In or around April 6, 2017, Defendant began placing calls to Plaintiff’s cellular  
10 telephone number (214) 301-1151 in an attempt to collect an alleged debt.  
11

12 13. A number of the incoming calls came from (888) 596-4478; (415) 960-6299;  
13 (415) 632-4069; (844) 824-5751; and (415) 960-6294; upon information and  
14 belief, these numbers are owned or operated by Defendant.

15 14. On or about May 1, 2017 at 12:58 p.m., Mr. Flewellyn answered a call from  
16 Defendant, on his cellular telephone, originating from (415) 960-6299. Plaintiff  
17 heard a pause before the representative began to speak, indicating the use of an  
18 Automated Telephone Dialing System.  
19

20 15. Plaintiff spoke with a representative of Defendants and was informed that it was  
21 attempting to collect a debt. During the call, Mr. Flewellyn asked for calls to  
22 his cell phone to cease.  
23

24 16. Despite Plaintiff having unequivocally revoking consent to be contacted,  
25 Defendant continued to call Plaintiff on his cellular phone.

1 17. Between May 1, 2017 and May 26, 2017, Mr. Flewellyn was called no less than  
2 fifty-two (52) times after having unequivocally revoked consent to be contacted  
3 on his cellular phone.

4 18. Defendant would call Plaintiff on his cellular telephone two (2) to three (3)  
5 times a day. Most notably, Mr. Flewellyn was contacted on his cellular  
6 telephone eight (8) times on May 24, 2017.

7  
8 19. The Federal Communications Commission (“FCC”) noted in its 2003 TCPA  
9 Order that a predictive dialer is “equipment that dials numbers and, when  
10 certain computer software is attached, also assists telemarketers in predicting  
11 when a sales agent will be available to take calls. The hardware, when paired  
12 with certain software, has the capacity to store or produce numbers and dial  
13 those numbers at random, in sequential order, or from a database of numbers.”  
14 2003 TCPA Order, 18 FCC Rcd at 14091, para. 131.

15  
16 20. The FCC further explained that the “principal feature of predictive dialing  
17 software is a timing function, not number storage or generation.” Id.

18  
19 21. Finally, the FCC stated that “a predictive dialer falls within the meaning and  
20 statutory definition of ‘automatic telephone dialing equipment’ and the intent  
21 of Congress.” Id. at 14091-92, paras. 132-33.

22  
23 22. Defendant consistently called Plaintiff around the same time every day,  
24 indicating the use of a predictive dialer.

1 23. For example, Defendant called Plaintiff at 10:31 a.m. (May 3, 2017), 10:33  
2 a.m. (May 9, 2017), 10:35 a.m. (May 10, 2017), 10:27 a.m. (May 16, 2017),  
3 and 10:33 a.m. (May 18, 2017).

4 24. Most of the incessant calls placed by Defendant were made while Mr. Flewellyn  
5 was at work.

6 25. While at work, Mr. Flewellyn has his cellular phone in his possession at all  
7 times, which made the harassing calls disruptive to his work day.

8 26. As a result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff has sustained actual damages  
9 including but not limited to, emotional and mental pain and anguish.  
10

11  
12 **COUNT I**  
**(Violations of the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227)**

13 27. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint  
14 as though fully stated herein.

15 28. Defendant violated the TCPA. Defendant's violations include, but are not  
16 limited to the following:  
17

- 18 a. Within four years prior to the filing of this action, on multiple  
19 occasions, Defendant violated TCPA 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A)(iii)  
20 which states in pertinent part, "It shall be unlawful for any person  
21 within the United States . . . to make any call (other than a call made  
22 for emergency purposes or made with the prior express consent of the  
23 called party) using any automatic telephone dialing system or an  
24  
25

artificial or prerecorded voice — to any telephone number assigned to a . . . cellular telephone service . . . or any service for which the called party is charged for the call.

b. Within four years prior to the filing of this action, on multiple occasions Defendant willfully and/or knowingly contacted Plaintiff at Plaintiff's cellular telephone using an artificial prerecorded voice or an automatic telephone dialing system and as such, Defendant knowingly and/or willfully violated the TCPA.

29. As a result of Defendant's violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of five hundred dollars (\$500.00) in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B). If the Court finds that Defendant knowingly and/or willfully violated the TCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of one thousand five hundred dollars (\$1,500.00), for each and every violation pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).

**COUNT II**  
**(Violations of the Texas Fair Debt Collection Practices Act,  
TEX. FIN. CODE 392)**

30. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference all of the above paragraphs of this complaint as though fully set forth herein at length.

31. Defendant violated the TFDCA. Defendant's violations include, but are not limited to, the following:

- a. Defendant violated TEX. FIN. CODE 392.302(4) by causing a telephone to ring repeatedly or continuously to annoy the person called; and
- b. Defendant violated TEX. FIN. CODE 392.302(2) by communicating, by telephone or in person, with the debtor with such frequency as to be unreasonable and to constitute a harassment to the debtor under the circumstances; and
- c. Defendant violated TEX. FIN. CODE 392.303 by collecting or attempting to collect a consumer debt without complying with the provisions of Sections 1692b to 1692j, inclusive, of . . . Title 15 of the United States Code (Fair Debt Collection Practices Act).
  - i. Defendant violated TEX. FIN. CODE 392.303 by violating 15 U.S.C. § 1692d by engaging in conduct, the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress or abuse any person in connection with the collection of the alleged debt; and
  - ii. Defendant violated TEX. FIN. CODE 392.303 by violating 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(5) by causing Plaintiff's phone to ring or engaging Plaintiff in telephone conversations repeatedly;
  - iii. Defendant violated TEX. FIN. CODE 392.303 by violating 15 U.S.C. § 1692f by using unfair or unconscionable means in connection with the collection of an alleged debt; and

1           iv. Defendant violated TEX. FIN. CODE 392.303 by violating 15  
2           U.S.C. § 1692c(a)(1) by communicating with the consumer at  
3           any unusual time or place known to be inconvenient to the  
4           consumer. In the absence of knowledge of circumstances to the  
5           contrary, a debt collector shall assume that the convenient time  
6           for communicating with a consumer is after 8 o'clock  
7           antemeridian and before 9 o'clock postmeridian, local time at the  
8           consumer's location.  
9

10       32. Defendant's acts, as described above, were done intentionally with the purpose  
11       of coercing Plaintiff to pay the alleged debt.  
12

13       33. As a result of the foregoing violations of the TFDCPA, Defendant is liable to  
14       Plaintiff for declaratory judgment that Defendant's conduct violated the  
15       TFDCPA, actual damages, statutory damages, and attorneys' fees and costs.  
16

17       **WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff, Donald Flewellyn, respectfully requests judgment  
18       be entered against Defendant, Lending Club, for the following:

19       A. Injunctive relief pursuant to the Texas Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, TEX.  
20       FIN. CODE 392.403(1);  
21

22       B. Actual damages pursuant to the Texas Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, TEX.  
23       FIN. CODE 392.403(2);  
24

25       C. Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to the Texas Fair Debt Collection  
      Practices Act, TEX. FIN. CODE 392.403(2)(b);



- 1 D. No less than \$100.00 per violation of this chapter pursuant to the Texas Fair  
2 Debt Collection Practices Act, TEX. FIN. CODE 392.403(2)(b);  
3 E. Statutory damages pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. §  
4 227(b)(3)(C); and  
5 F. Any other relief that this Honorable Court deems appropriate.  
6  
7  
8  
9

10 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

11 Dated: September 18, 2017

By: /s/ Stuart M. Price

12 Stuart M. Price (SBN 150439)  
13 Stuart@pricelawgroup.com  
14 15760 Ventura Blvd., Suite 1100  
15 Encino, CA 91436  
16 T: (818) 907-2030  
17 F: (818) 205-2730  
18 Attorneys for Plaintiff,  
19 Donald Flewellyn  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25